

REPORT TO THE IDAHO
LEGISLATURE



THE PROSECUTION OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

JULY 1, 2004 - JUNE 30, 2005

**Joint Submission by
The Office of the Governor
Dirk Kempthorne, Governor**

and

**The Office of the Attorney General
Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General**

January, 2006



DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR

January 20, 2006

To: The Idaho Legislature and the Citizens of Idaho

Re: The Prosecution of Child Sexual Abuse

The latest reported findings on child sexual abuse in Idaho show an increase in the number of cases filed in Fiscal Year 2005 compared to the previous year. A total of 422 cases were filed in district and juvenile courts involving the sexual abuse of a child – up 14 percent from the FY 2004 report of 371 cases filed.

This report can help us focus on the true tragedy of these crimes. Over a quarter of the victims of adult defendants were our youngest Idahoans – age 11 and younger. Equally disturbing is that more than one out of three defendants in a child sexual abuse case is also a juvenile. By any measure, this continues to be one of the most heinous and permanently damaging crimes for the victim.

I commend the law enforcement officers and prosecuting attorneys throughout Idaho for their diligent efforts to break the cycle of sexual abuse and to bring the perpetrators to justice. I urge their continued diligence in protecting Idaho's children.

We must never forget that our ultimate goal is to eliminate the causes and vestiges of child sex abuse. We must be ever vigilant in assessing our tools to prosecute and punish those responsible while, at the same time, identifying and preventing these tragedies from occurring.

To that end, this report is offered as a tool to help in the formation of public policies that will protect the youngest and most vulnerable members of our society from those who would abuse them and rob them of their innocence and childhood.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dirk Kempthorne", written over a large, stylized initial "D".

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor



STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LAWRENCE G. WARDEN

January 20, 2006

To: The Idaho Legislature and the Citizens of Idaho

Re: The Prosecution of Child Sexual Abuse

The 17th annual report concerning the prosecution of sexual abuse crimes against Idaho children comes at a time of heightened public concern about the threat to children. It is also a time of increased governmental reflection about how to protect children from sexual abuse and how to dispense justice to their abusers.

In 2005, Idahoans were shocked by the violent crimes of two previously convicted sex offenders in northern Idaho, by revelations that responsible adults looked the other way while a sex criminal abused children over a period of years in eastern Idaho and by the arrests of many individuals who used the Internet to arrange sexual encounters with Idaho children. This report tells us that there are many more victims than those we read about in the newspapers. It cannot, and does not attempt to, describe the suffering and the life-long harm done to the victims and their families.

The report finds, as it has every year, that the abuser is almost always a person known and trusted by the victim and the victim's family. Only three defendants were strangers to the victim. This suggests that parents must know the people with whom their children spend time. They must also be vigilant that those in relationships of trust with their children do not seek to exploit that trust.

Parental vigilance must also extend to a newer and growing threat from sexual predators who truly are strangers. These strangers lurk in the shadows of the Internet. Since 2001, the Idaho Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force has arrested more than 50 Internet predators who arranged a sexual encounter in Idaho with a person they believed to be a child.

To combat this threat, Secretary of State Ben Ysursa and I created ProtectTeens, a program that teaches parents about Internet sexual predators and how to protect their children. Our partners are First Lady Patricia Kempthorne, the Idaho Prosecuting Attorneys Association, the Idaho Sheriffs Association, the Idaho Chiefs of Police Association, the Idaho Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force, the Idaho Medical Association, the State Department of Education, the Idaho School Boards Association, the Idaho Association of School Administrators, and the Idaho Parent Teacher Association.

In the coming weeks, the Legislature will consider many ideas intended to protect our children from sexual abuse. I offer the assistance of my office in your work and wish you well in your deliberations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "L. Warden", written over a white background.

LAWRENCE G. WARDEN
Attorney General

REPORT TO THE IDAHO
LEGISLATURE



THE PROSECUTION OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

JULY 1, 2004 - JUNE 30, 2005


**Joint Submission by
The Office of the Governor
Dirk Kempthorne, Governor**

and

**The Office of the Attorney General
Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General**

January, 2006

Total Charges, Prosecutions and Petitions for 1996 to 2005 in Idaho



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

RESEARCH SUMMARY
THE PROSECUTION OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE IN IDAHO
JULY 1, 2004 - JUNE 30, 2005

>422 CASES WERE FILED (259 ADULT CASES, 163 JUVENILE CASES) IN DISTRICT AND JUVENILE COURTS IN FY05. THIS REPRESENTS AN INCREASE OF 51 CASES OVER FY04, A DECLINE OF 37 CASES FROM FY03, AND A DECLINE OF 12 CASES FROM THE FY02 REPORT.

>VICTIM GENDER WAS PREDOMINANTLY FEMALE FOR BOTH ADULT AND JUVENILE PERPETRATORS.

>54% OF THE VICTIMS OF ADULT DEFENDANTS WERE BETWEEN 12 AND 15 YEARS OLD AND 27% WERE 11 OR UNDER.

>76% OF VICTIMS OF JUVENILE SEX OFFENDERS WERE UNDER 11 YEARS OF AGE.

> 52% OF THE ADULT ABUSERS WERE ACQUAINTANCES OF THEIR VICTIMS, 9% NATURAL PARENTS, 6% OTHER RELATIVES, 5% WERE STEP-PARENTS, AND LESS THAN 1.23% WERE STRANGERS.

>38% OF THE JUVENILE ABUSERS WERE ACQUAINTANCES OF THEIR VICTIMS OR THEIR PARENTS AND 40% WERE RELATIVES.

>WHERE ADULT DISPOSITION WAS KNOWN, THE IMMEDIATE SENTENCE FOR THOSE CONVICTED WAS 38% PROBATION; 19% PRISON; 30% RETAINED JURISDICTION. THIS REPRESENTS A INCREASE IN PRISON SENTENCES.

RESEARCH TEAM

The research team consisted of Ted Hopfenbeck, Coordinator of Data Collection; Steven Patrick, Ph.D., Coordinator of Data Analysis and Co-Principal Investigator; and Robert L. Marsh, Ph.D., Project Director and Co-Principal Investigator. Nate Hopfenbeck, Baxter Andrews, Michelle Morrison and Terri Shafer served as Research Associates.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	ii
INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY	1
ANALYSIS OF ADULT CASES	11
ANALYSIS OF JUVENILE CASES	35
STATEWIDE ANALYSIS OF CASES	53
TREND ANALYSIS	62
CONCLUSION	65

INTRODUCTION and METHODOLOGY

REPORT TO THE IDAHO LEGISLATURE

THE PROSECUTION OF CHILD SEX ABUSE IN IDAHO

FY05 JULY 1, 2004--JUNE 30, 2005

INTRODUCTION

House Bill 362, passed in 1989 (adding section 67-1405 to the Idaho Code), expanded the Attorney General's duties to require preparation of an annual report to be submitted to the legislature reporting the incidence of felony child sex abuse charges filed in adult and juvenile courts in the state. A research team worked in conjunction with the Offices of the Governor and the Attorney General to collect data and prepare the report to comply with this legislation. This team has collected data for the past sixteen reports. Specifically, data were collected on child sex abuse cases filed in district and juvenile courts throughout the state for the period of July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005. The data were analyzed by the research team and submitted to the Offices of the Attorney General and the Governor for review. Governor Dirk Kempthorne and Attorney General Lawrence Wasden submitted the completed report to the legislative leadership.

PREVIOUS RESEARCH

A number of annual reports and one special report have preceded this study. The approach (methodology) of the data collection in the earlier reports has varied widely, but the data collection in the last twelve reports have utilized a consistent methodology. The following synopsis provides an overview of previous reports and the data collection procedures. There have been a total of 16 reports plus 1 special report submitted to the Idaho legislature.

FIRST REPORT: January 1, 1988-December 31, 1989

The first report produced by the Governor's Office covered a two-year period (January 1, 1988 - December 31, 1989) and analyzed data collected by site visitation to all 44 counties. This report included sexual abuse information available from the agencies that dealt with various aspects of child sexual abuse. The Department of Law Enforcement provided information on investigation of known cases and the Department of Corrections focused on disposition of offenders under its jurisdiction. The Department of Health and Welfare reported the impact of child sex abuse on families and children.

No single department of state government was able to provide a complete accounting for each child abuse case as it was processed through the system. One of the recommendations of the first report was to develop a statewide system to record incidence, track case filings, and disposition of child sex abuse cases.

SECOND REPORT: July 1, 1989-June 30, 1990

This report covered child sexual abuse crimes from July 1, 1989, to June 30, 1990, utilizing data from state agencies. This time, however, an attempt was made to collect data directly from district court records and county prosecuting attorneys.

This report was based on a review on-site of courthouse case files of the state's six largest counties and nearby smaller communities. The survey, together with telephone and mail contact with the remaining counties, provided access to a database sizeable enough to produce a document for the legislative report.

As in the first report, the 1990 study concentrated on child sexual abuse from the perspective of the criminal justice system. It assessed cases from prosecution to sentencing and disposition. The report provided information on

plea-bargaining arrangements, suspended sentences, offender evaluations and punishment alternatives.

SPECIAL REPORT: Sex Crimes Against Children

In July 1991, the Department of Health and Welfare issued a special report entitled **Sex Crimes Against Children**. This report analyzed complex issues of child sexual abuse from the vantage point of the largest state agency, the Department of Health and Welfare. The report examined nearly 1,900 reported cases of sex abuse from July 1, 1989, to June 30, 1990. It traced cases from initial report to investigation by caseworkers, referral to law enforcement, and disposition by the prosecutor and the courts.

Each county in the state was visited and data were collected on sex crimes committed against children by reviewing district court records of individual counties. The report analyzed the number and types of crimes prosecuted or filed for the year.

THIRD REPORT: July 1, 1990-June 30, 1991

This report provided information on adult and juvenile offenders. The incidence of juvenile sex abuse crimes had not been reported as a separate category in previous reports. A complete section was devoted to juvenile sex crimes for the first time. Offender age data, the relationship of the offenders to victims, demographic data on victims, and system processing information were collected on-site in each county for adults and juveniles. The data collected from court clerk records were verified with prosecuting attorneys in each jurisdiction.

FOURTH REPORT: July 1, 1991-June 30, 1992

This report provided information on adult and juvenile offenders as provided by the Clerk of the Court in each county and verified with the county prosecutor. The research staff reviewed case files on-site to determine offender age, the relationship of the offenders to victims, criminal history, demographic data on victims, and case processing information. Pre-sentence and sex offender evaluations that were completed on the offenders were also reviewed. Rates of case filings were standardized for the first time based on county population. Data on Pre-sentence and Sex Offender Evaluation were collected to determine if they were used in sentencing decisions.

FIFTH REPORT: July 1, 1992 - June 30, 1993

Court records were reviewed for juveniles and adults throughout the state in order to complete this report. Data were collected on the same variables as the previous report to insure consistency. Rates were reported in a standardized format based on county population.

SIXTH REPORT: July 1, 1993 - June 30, 1994

Court records were reviewed throughout the state for adults and juveniles accused of child sex abuse. Data were collected consistent with the reports from the previous two years. In addition to reporting standardized rates, comparisons were made of reported incidence in the most and least populated counties.

SEVENTH REPORT: July 1, 1994 - June 30, 1995

Court records were reviewed throughout the state for adult and juvenile cases filed. Data were collected on variables consistent with the previous three years.

EIGHTH REPORT: July 1,1995 - June 30, 1996

The data collection procedures and methodology were consistent with the previous four reports. Data were collected on site for all counties reporting cases during FY96.

NINTH REPORT: July 1, 1996 – June 30, 1997

Data collection procedures by the Boise State research team were consistent with the previous reports. The researchers visited all counties and data were collected on site for all counties reporting cases in FY97.

TENTH REPORT: July 1, 1997 – June 30, 1998

Data collection procedures by the research team were consistent with past reports. The researchers visited all counties reporting cases and data were collected on site for the FY98 report.

ELEVENTH REPORT: July 1, 1998-June 30, 1999

Data collection and analysis procedures were consistent with the previous years that the Boise State University Research Team has produced this report.

TWELVETH REPORT: July 1, 1999-June 30, 2000

The data collection and analysis procedures were comparable with the previous reports. There were 364 cases (243 adult and 121 juvenile) cases reported.

THIRTEENTH REPORT: July 1, 2000-June 30, 2001

The data collection method and analysis were consistent with the previous reports. A total of 386 cases were filed (265 adult and 121 juvenile) in District and Juvenile Courts in FY01.

FOURTEENTH REPORT: July 1, 2001-June 30, 2002

The data collection method was consistent with the previous reports. A total of 434 cases were filed (310 adult and 124 juvenile) in District and Juvenile Courts in FY02. This represented an increase of 48 cases from the FY01 report and 70 cases from the FY00 report.

FIFTEENTH REPORT: July 1, 2002-June 30, 2003

The data collection for this report was consistent with the previous reports. A total of 459 cases were filed (292 adult and 167 juvenile) in District and Juvenile Courts in FY03. This represents an increase of 25 cases from FY02, 73 cases from FY01, and 95 cases from FY00.

SIXTEENTH REPORT: July 1, 2003-June 30, 2004

The data collection for this report was consistent with the previous reports. A total of 371 cases were filed (255 adult and 116 juvenile) in District and Juvenile Courts in FY04. This represented a decrease of 88 cases from FY03, 64 cases from FY02, and 15 cases from FY01. This is the first decrease in the number of reported cases in 4 years.

SEVENTEENTH REPORT: July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005

The data collection methods for this present report was consistent with the previous reports. A total of 422 cases were filed (259 adult and 163 juvenile) in District and Juvenile Courts in FY05. This represented an increase of 44 cases from FY04, a decrease of 44 cases from FY03, and a decrease of 19 cases from FY02.

METHODOLOGY/DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

This is the seventeenth report (plus one Special Report) on child sex abuse prosecutions submitted to the Legislature. This report includes data on adult and child sex abuse cases filed in FY05 (July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005). The review of court records for this report was initiated in July 2005, and continued through late November.

The Research Team has been involved in producing this report since FY92 (1991-1992). For the first eight years that the researchers collected the data for this study, the Chief Justice of the Idaho Supreme Court provided a letter of introduction for the research staff to review the Pre-sentence Investigation Reports (PSR) to collect the data for the statutory legislative report on The Prosecution of Child Sex Abuse. This letter allowed the research team to confidentially review the files to provide descriptive data for the legislative report. This report has historically provided aggregate and summary data to the legislature without any identifiers of any kind as to the identity of the sex offenders. Based on the past practices of the research team and the advice of both the Governor's and Attorney General's Office, all of the material was handled in a confidential manner. In the past 6 years the research team has not been provided access to Pre-sentence Investigation Reports.

Information that could not be accessed by the research staff in the field was referred to the Records Office at the Department of Corrections and their staff attempted to fill in the missing data. While this was an improvement over no access to Presentence information, it still failed to provide the comprehensiveness of earlier reports.

All counties were contacted by mail by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court explaining the yearly data collection on cases filed in district court for adults and magistrate court for juveniles for the annual legislative report. The

research team made follow-up phone calls before data collection began. Facsimiles were sent to all county prosecutors requesting the case numbers of all cases filed during the study period. The information from the County Clerks was cross-referenced with the information from the County Prosecutors to insure all cases were reported.

The information was subsequently collected by on-site visits to all counties reporting child sex abuse cases (adult and juvenile). Members of the research staff consulted by telephone with any county requesting assistance in identifying child sex abuse cases. A total of 37 counties that reported cases were visited. Seven counties reported no cases filed for FY05. The research team reviewed files identified and supplied by the Court Clerk or the Prosecutor. County Clerks were asked to have the files on all felony and juvenile cases filed between July 1, 2004, and June 30, 2005, available for review. The research team reviewed only cases formally filed (district court for adults and petitions filed in magistrate court for juveniles) in FY05.

This study covered the following charges: Attempted Rape (IC §§ 18-301, 18-306), Sexual Abuse (IC § 18-1506), Ritualized Abuse (IC § 18-1506A), Sexual Exploitation of a Child (IC § 18-1507), Possession of Sexually Exploitative Materials (IC § 18-1507A), Lewd and Lascivious Conduct (IC § 18-1508), Sexual Battery (IC § 18-1508A), Rape (IC § 18-6101), Male Rape (IC § 18-6108), Incest (IC § 18-6602), Crimes Against Nature (IC §§ 18-6605 and 18-6606), Forcible Sexual Penetration with a Foreign Object (IC § 18-6608), and Sex Offender Registration (IC §§ 18-8304 and 18-8311).

Counties tracked the cases utilizing different systems throughout the state. Many counties utilized the ISTARs system available through the Administrative Offices of the Idaho Supreme Court. (The system has not been standardized across all counties because of funding issues.) Some counties enter ISTARs data at the outset while others entered the case into the system

at the final disposition. The Ada County Prosecutor's Office maintained a separate computerized system that tracked each case filed in both the adult and juvenile courts. Other counties maintained a separate manual system of the pertinent cases. On site data collection was done directly from the files provided to the researchers. The research team relied on the individual system that each county utilized to track cases that were filed. After initial identification of the cases, confirmation letters/facsimiles were sent to respective county prosecutors providing a list of identified cases from their county and requesting any corrections. Every possible attempt was made to insure that all cases were included in this study. Since the state has not designed a standard statewide tracking system, a few cases may have been unreported.

After case information was collected in the field, the research team also requested updated dispositional information on pending cases. Counties that provided dispositional information by facsimile through November 30, 2005 were included in this analysis. Cases that had not reached the dispositional stage were left in the "pending" category. Only cases filed in the district court for adults and petitions filed in magistrate court for juveniles and identified by the clerks or county prosecutors of that county were included in this report. Cases handled informally when charges were not filed were not included.

The absence of a uniform method of tracking cases and retrieving the data remains a serious issue beyond the scope of the present project. Recommendations have been made by the researchers to alleviate problems of data collection by developing a standardized tracking system.

The lack of access to Presentence Reports continues to inhibit the ability of the research team to provide comprehensive demographic data on adults and juveniles prosecuted for child sex abuse. The assistance in collecting the Presentence data by the Department of Corrections for those convicted has

constituted an improvement over the last three reports. Unfortunately the demographic data in this report is not as comprehensive as previous reports.

ADULT CASES

ANALYSIS OF ADULT CASES FILED-CHARTS 1A - 21A

(FY05: July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)


The information from the adult cases is included in Charts 1A-21A and immediately follows the discussion of adult defendants. A total of 259 adult cases (256 offenders) were filed in district court during the twelve-month period of July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005. This was an increase of 51 cases from last year.

Adult Defendants: Chart 1A - Total Cases and Charges Filed

A total of 384 charges were filed in the 259 adult cases for child sex offense felonies in Idaho in FY05. This represented an increase in the number of adult cases from the reports of FY04. There was an average of 1.5 charges filed in each case. This is consistent with the number of charges filed in FY04.

Chart 1A: Adult Defendants

Total Cases and Charges Filed




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 2A - Victim Gender

There were a total of 242 victims in this survey. Victim gender was predominantly female representing 87.19% (N=211) of the victims. Reported female victimization was higher in this study period than the FY04 and FY03 studies. Male victims represented 6.2% (N=15) of all victims in this survey. The gender distribution was approximately the same as the FY92, FY93, FY94, FY97, FY01 and FY03 studies. The information on victim gender was not available to the research team in 16 (6.61%) cases.

Chart 2A: Adult Defendants




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study
39 with no victims not included in the percentages for gender

Adult Defendants: Chart 3A - Victim Age

Approximately 2.07%, (N=5) of the victims were under three years of age. Children from four to seven years of age represented 10.74% (N=26) of the victims. A total of 13.64% (N=33) were from eight to eleven years old. A total of 53.72% (N=130) of the victims were between the ages of twelve and fifteen. A total of 16.94% of the victims (N=41) were between the ages of sixteen and seventeen and age was unknown for 7 (2.89%) of the victims. The percentage of victims under the age of eight increased since the FY04 report. Victims from ages twelve to fifteen increased from 47.08% to 53.72%. Victims between sixteen and seventeen years of age declined from 20.23% to 16.94%.

Chart 3A: Adult Defendants
Victim Age




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 4A - Number of Victims Per Case

Data presented in this chart represents the number of reported victims per case filed. Defendants in 78.76% (N=204) of the cases were charged with a crime against only one victim. There were two victims reported in 4.63% (N=12) of the cases. In 4 cases (1.54%) there were three or more victims. A total of 39 (15.06%) of the persons charged had no victims because they had a registration violation. This is an increase in no registration violations from FY03 from 1.71% to 15.06% in FY05.

Chart 4A: Adult Defendants

Number of Victims per Case



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study


Adult Defendants: Chart 5A - Victim Relationship

Chart 5A indicates the relationship between the 242 victims and the 259 adult defendants (representing 384 charges). The data indicate 8.68% (N=21) of the children were victimized by their actual parents. This represents an increase from the 6.61% in FY04. There were 4.54% (N=11) of the victims that were abused by stepparents. This is a slight increase from last year. Other family members were charged with child sexual abuse for 5.78% (N=14) of the victims. Acquaintances were identified as the abusers in 51.65% (N=125) of the victims. Three strangers (1.23%) were accused of child sexual abuse. (This represents an decrease in stranger victimizations. In FY01 it declined from 5% to 2.8 %; in FY02 it declined to 2.41% and in FY03 it declined to .64%. In FY04 it increased from .64% to 5.84%. This year it declined to 1.23%.) The relationship was unknown for 28.10% (N=68) or represented a non-registration violation.

Of the 242 victims, the relationship between the victim and the accused was known for 174 victims. Parents abused 21 (8.68%) of the victims. Forty six of the victims (19%) were abused by parents, relatives or stepparents. The 51.65% (N=125) of those victimized by acquaintances represents a increase from FY03 and FY04. There were a total of 70.65% (N=171) fitting into these two categories of relatives and acquaintances. Three (1.23%) were classified as strangers representing an decrease from the 5.84% strangers charged with abuse in FY04. Most adults charged with child sexual abuse offenses knew their victims. The parents and children generally had reason to know and/or trust the defendant because the child or the child's parents knew the defendant. This parallels the findings in the FY92, FY93, FY94, FY95, FY96, FY97, FY98, FY99, FY00, FY01, FY02, FY03, and FY04 studies. THE FINDINGS FROM THIS SURVEY HAVE BEEN CONSISTENT FOR THE LAST 14 YEARS. CHILDREN ARE MUCH MORE LIKELY TO BE VICTIMS OF PERSONS THEY KNOW

(AND THEIR PARENTS KNOW). IT IS ASSUMED THAT THIS TYPE OF PERPETRATOR HAS SOME LEGITIMATE ACCESS TO THE CHILD.


Chart 5A: Adult Defendants Relationship to Victims



Adult Defendants: Chart 6A - Presentence/Sex Offender Evaluation

Chart 6A shows the number of convicted perpetrators that had a Pre-sentence Report and/or Sex Offender Evaluation completed before sentencing. Both Pre-sentence and Sex Offender Evaluations were prepared in 84 (32.43%) of the cases. The survey indicated that 60 (23.17%) of the defendants had a Pre-sentence Report only before sentencing. There were 34 (13.13%) defendants with neither. Eighteen (6.95%) had a Sex Offender Evaluation only. The remaining cases were in Pending, Not Convicted or in the Unknown categories. This survey indicates that there are a number of cases lacking information on Pre-sentence evaluations. The Research Division at the Department of Corrections collected these data.

**Chart 6A: Adult Defendants
Presentence Report and Sex Offender Evaluation**



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 7A - Prior Convictions

Chart 7A shows prior convictions of adults charged with a child sex abuse crime during this study period. The data in this chart represents those whose case has reached the dispositional stage. When the data were collected, 27 of the total of 259 persons convicted had criminal records. Twenty three (8.16%) of the 259 adults charged had prior child sex abuse charges. (This is approximately the same as last year. The numbers are still small but it should be studied in more depth to determine if there are increasing numbers of recidivists.) Twenty seven (9.57%) had prior non-sex offender felonies. Criminal history was unknown for the remainder.

Chart 7A: Adult Defendants Prior Offenses




Chart totals to 282 due to 23 with prior child sexual abuse felonies being counted twice with the 27 with any prior felonies.


FY2004 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 8A - Type of Charges Filed

Chart 8A shows the breakdown of the 384 criminal charges filed against adults. Lewd and Lascivious Conduct (IC§18-1508) charges continued as the charges most frequently filed. L and L represented 204 charges or 53.12% of the total. Rape (IC§18-6101) charges were filed 70 times (18.23%) during FY05. The charge of Sexual Abuse (IC§18-1506) resulted in 24 charges (6.25%) of the total number of charges filed. Sexual Battery (IC§18-1508A) was filed in 6.25% (N=24) of the cases. Offender registration charges were filed in 34 cases or 8.85%. (The incidence of non-reporting/non-registration has increased significantly).

These data were collected to determine which charges were most frequently used against child sex abusers. This is the fourteenth year this information has been collected. A detailed analysis of case files for the last fourteen years indicates exceedingly wide variability in the types of sex abuse behavior and the actual charge filed. The Lewd and Lascivious statute continues to be used to prosecute cases ranging from fondling to actual copulation. Most charging decisions continue to reflect a decision in favor of filing the most serious charge possible, not necessarily the most accurate charge. This creates problems in developing treatment programs and education/prevention programs that require accurate data on criminal history.

Chart 8A: Adult Defendants Most Frequently Filed Charges




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 9A - Dispositional Information

This chart shows that a total of 141 were convicted. Cases are pending for 34.36% (N=89). Defendants were acquitted in 0.77% (N=2) of the cases. Charges were dismissed in 10.42% (N=27) of the cases.


Chart 9A: Adult Defendants Dispositional Information



Adult Defendants: Chart 10A - Sentencing Information

Chart 10A shows the sentencing outcomes for the adult defendants in this study. The sentencing decision is known for 145 of the cases. (The additional defendants are awaiting trial, awaiting sentencing, or the charges were dismissed.) Probation was the disposition in 37.93% (N=55) of the known cases. Judges gave a Retained Jurisdiction sentence in 30.34% (N=44) of the cases. A total of 18.62% (N=27) of convicted defendants were given a prison sentence or did not complete the Retained sentence with a recommendation for Probation. A total of 13.10% (N=19) were given jail sentences.

**Chart 10A: Adult Defendants
Outcomes for Convicted Offenders**




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study
Chart totals to 145 (only 141 actually convicted) due to multiple outcomes (retained to prison or probation or jail with probation)

Adult Defendants: Chart 11A - Offender/Victim Ethnicity

Information was collected on ethnicity of the accused adult offenders and the victims. Victim ethnicity was overwhelmingly Caucasian for 178 (73.55%) victims. Hispanic victims represented 21 (8.68%) of the victims and Black 1 (0.41%) victim. Victim ethnicity could not be determined in 41 (16.94%) cases.

Chart 11A: Adult Defendants



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Offender ethnicity data indicated that 79.92% (N=207) of the total were Caucasian and 15.83% (N=41) were Hispanic. There were 2 Black and 3 Asian offenders. The other offenders were recorded as unknown.


A total of 174 (71.90%) of the victims were abused by a member of their own ethnic group. Nineteen (7.85%) were victimized by a member of a different ethnic group and the ethnicity relationship was unknown for 49 (20.25%) of the remaining victims. FY05 data was consistent with the data collected in FY04.

Adult Defendants: Chart 12A - Location of Child Sexual Abuse

Sixty-three (24.32%) of the alleged offenses occurred in the victim’s residence. Forty (15.44%) occurred in the offender’s residence. One hundred six (40.93%) of the locations could not be determined from court or police records. Nine (3.47%) occurred in a vehicle and 9 (3.47%) occurred outside. This was consistent with the findings in FY00, FY01, FY02, FY03 and FY04.

Chart 12A: Adult Defendants

Location of Child Sexual Abuse




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 13A - Educational Level

The collection of educational data was impacted by the lack of access to Pre-sentence Reports. A suitable substitute sources has not been identified. Data was so sparse that this variable could not be reported.

Chart 13A: Adult Defendants

Offender Education




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 14A - Outcomes of Retained Jurisdiction

This survey marks the ninth year of the analysis of the Retained Jurisdiction Sentence (Idaho Criminal Code, Section 2601).

There were 44 persons that were sentenced under this statute as of November 30, 2004. Of that number, 36 (81.82%) were still completing their period of limited incarceration and evaluation in the Department of Corrections. It was not known if they would be released on Probation or complete their sentence in prison. Three (6.82%) were sent to prison to complete their sentence and 5 (11.36%) were released on Probation.


Chart 14A: Adult Defendants
Outcomes of Retained Jurisdiction



Adult Defendants: Chart 15A - Offender Occupation

Defendant occupation data was insufficient for analysis this year. This information used to be gleaned from Pre-Sentence Reports. A suitable substitute source has yet to be identified.

Chart 15A: Adult Defendants Offender Occupation




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adults: Chart 16A Analysis of Determinate/Indeterminate Sentences

Data was available on the 141 defendants sentenced and the length of their sentence (as of November 30, 2005). These data include persons sent directly to prison, those sent directly to probation, and those on a Retained status. Eighty (56.94%) received a fixed or determinate sentence of 36 months or less. Twenty seven (18.98%) received a determinate sentence of 37 to 60 months. The remainder were pending or had received determinate sentences of 61 months to life.

Chart 16A2 provides the analysis of the indeterminate sentence given to sex offenders. Over half of the offenders were given 61 months to life.

Chart 16A: Adult Defendants Sentencing for All Convicted Defendants





FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 17A - Sentencing for Those Sent to Prison

The data in this chart refers to convicted perpetrators sent to prison and not given a Retained Jurisdiction sentence. The analysis indicates that the majority of defendants (70.36%, N=19) sent to prison had determinate sentences of 48 months or less.

Chart 17A: Adult Defendants Sentencing for Those Sent to Prison




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 18A - Income

In prior years, this information was taken from the Pre-Sentence Report. A new source for this information has yet to be identified, therefore information on offender income could not be reported.

Chart 18A: Adult Defendants Offender Income



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Adult Defendants: Chart 19A - Age Relationship Offenders and Victims

This chart shows the relationship between age of perpetrators and their victim. It provides a graphic representation that shows that the preponderance of the perpetrators in their 20's and 30's were involved with teenage victims between the ages of 13 and 17. Seventy-nine of the adult persons charged with a child sex offense were between the ages of 20 and 29 and their victims were between 13 and 17 years of age. Twenty-five offenders were between 30 and 39 and their victims were between 13 and 17 years of age.

Chart 19A: Adult Defendants
Age Relationship for Offenders and Victims





Chart 20A: Voluntary vs. Use of Physical Force in Sexual Act

This chart represents data collected for the seventh year for this report. The researchers collected data on whether physical force was used in commission of the sexual act with the victim. Of the 242 victims, the force relationship was unknown in 66 (27.27%) of the cases. Fifteen (6.20%) of the defendants used force on their victims. In 36.78% (N=89) of the cases, the sexual act did not involve the use of force as determined from the data in the defendant's file. In 29.75% (N=72) of the cases, the act was involuntary.

This variable in particular deserves more detailed research to determine the nature of the "sexual victimization." Based on a more detailed analysis, the law and policy response could be crafted to more accurately reflect the appropriate societal response to this crime.

Chart 20A: Adult Defendants


Forced, Involuntary or Voluntary Abuse



Adult Defendants: Chart 21A–Districts Where Cases Filed

This chart shows adult child sex offender filings by judicial district. The clear leader in number of cases filed was the First District of Idaho with 52 cases (20.01%) of all cases that were filed in the state. The Fourth, Fifth, and Seventh were all similar in the incidence of cases filed.

Chart 21A: Adult Defendants
District Court



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

JUVENILE CASES

ANALYSIS OF JUVENILE CASES FILED-CHARTS 1J-16J

(FY05: July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)

The information from the juvenile cases is included in Charts 1J-16J and immediately follows the discussion of juveniles charged with child sex offenses.


A total of 163 juveniles were charged (i.e., a Juvenile Petition filed) with a child sex offense during the twelve-month period of July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005 (FY05). This is an increase of 47 cases from the 116 juveniles charged (i.e., a Juvenile Petition filed) with a child sex offense during the previous twelve-month period (FY04).

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 1J - Total Petitions and Charges Filed

Chart 1J indicates that 163 juveniles had 293 charges filed against them. In this FY05 report, there was an average of 1.8 charges in each petition. This represents a significant increase in juvenile petitions over the 116 petitions filed in FY04 and but is comparable with the 167 petitions filed in FY03.

Chart 1J: Juvenile Offenders


Total Cases and Petitions Filed



Juvenile Offenders: Chart 2J - Victim Gender

There were 205 victims listed in the juvenile petitions. Victim gender was predominantly female representing 65.85% (N=135) of the total number of known victims. Male victims represented 30.24% (N=62) of the total juvenile victims. The information on victim gender was not available to the research team in 3.90% (N=8) cases.

Chart 2J: Juvenile Offenders
Victim Gender




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 3J - Victim Age

For the 205 juvenile cases reported (with petitions filed) during the study period, the age of the victims was known for 192 of the victims. Victims under three years of age comprised 4.39% (N=9) of the total study population. Victims from four to seven years comprised 49.76% (N=102) of the study population. Children eight to eleven years were victims in 21.95% (N=45) of the cases. Another 15.12% (N=31) were from twelve to fifteen years of age and the remaining 2.44% (N=5) were sixteen or older. Age was unknown for 6.34% (N=13) of the victims.

This year's report continues to show a trend in younger victims that has developed during the last three fiscal years. It is important to note that perpetrators continue to focus on the younger and more vulnerable children.

Chart 3J: Juvenile Offenders Victim Age



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 4J - Number of Victims Per Case

The number of victims was known for all petitions. A single victim was reported in 126 (77.78%) of the petitions. There were two victims in 29 (17.90%) of the cases and three or more victims in 7 (4.32%) cases.

Chart 4J: Juvenile Offenders
Number of Victims per Case





Chart totals to 162 as one offender had no victim
FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 5J - Victim Relationship

Chart 5J shows the relationship between the 205 victims and the 163 petitioned juveniles. Acquaintances were identified as the abuser for 37.56% (N=77) of the victims. Relatives were charged with offenses for 40.00% (N=82) of the victims. There were no strangers charged with offenses. (This is a decrease from the 4 (2.76%) strangers charged last year.) The relationship could not be determined for 22.43% (N=46) of the victims.

Chart 5J: Juvenile Offenders Relationship to Victims




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Most juveniles charged with child sexual abuse **knew** or had some familiarity with their victims. The parents and/or children most likely had reason to trust the offender because the child or their family knew the offender. **The Surveys conducted since 1995 have indicated that 7 strangers (4 of those in FY04) have been charged with child sex abuse where victim relationship was known. This indicates that children are at risk from persons that they know (or their parents know).**

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 6J - Social History/Sex Offender Evaluation

The data in Chart 6J show the number of adjudicated juveniles that had a Social History or Sex Offender Evaluation completed before disposition. Most of these data were not available because of the lack of juvenile file access by the research team. These data are particularly problematic as both the legislature and the Idaho Department of Juvenile Corrections seek better information to sentence and treat juvenile sex offenders and the unavailability of sex offender evaluations in the Pre-sentence Reports limit judges and others in their ability to make meaningful interventions with sentencing.

Chart 6J: Juvenile Offenders Social History and Sex Offender Evaluation




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 7J - Types of Charges

Chart 7J shows the types of petitions (charges) filed against juveniles. Lewd and Lascivious Conduct (IC §18-1508) petitions were most frequently filed representing 279 (95.22%) of the total petitions. Rape (IC §18-6101) charges were filed 7 times (2.39%) during the year. A variety of other child sex offenses were filed in the other 7 (2.39%) of the cases.

These data were collected to determine which charges were most frequently used against juvenile child sex abusers. As with adults, there was an exceedingly wide variability in the types of sex abuse behavior and the actual charge filed. The Lewd and Lascivious statute was used most frequently and showed a 25% increase in FY03 over FY02 and a 10% increase from FY04 to FY05.

Chart 7J: Juvenile Offenders Most Frequently Filed Petitions




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 8J - Dispositional Information

Chart 8J shows dispositional information on juveniles. Seventy four (34.90%) were Detained under the YRA. Ninety (42.45%) were released under Probation supervision. Twenty six (12.26%) were released. This represents a decrease in those Detained and an increase in those released on Probation supervision from FY04.

**Chart 8J: Juvenile Offenders
Dispositional Information**




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 9J - Victim and Offender Ethnicity

The petitioned Offender's ethnicity was predominantly Caucasian representing 80.17% (N=141) of the offenders. Nine (9.48%) were recorded as Hispanic. Nine (10.34%) had no race recorded in their files.

Victims, where ethnicity was known, were primarily Caucasian representing 69.66% (N=159) of the children abused. Nine (9.48%) of the victims were Hispanic and the ethnicity was Unknown for the remaining 35 (10.34%).

Chart 9J: Juvenile Offenders Victim and Offender Ethnicity




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 10J - Offender/Victim Ethnicity

One hundred fifty six (95.70%) of the juveniles petitioned into court committed the sexual offense on a child of the same ethnic status. Seven (4.29%) of the cases were between juveniles of a different ethnic status.

**Chart 10J: Juvenile Offenders
Victim/Offender Ethnic Relationship**




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 11J - Location of Child Sexual Abuse

Seventy (42.94%) of the juveniles committed their offense in the victim's residence. Twenty (12.27%) of the offenders committed the offense in their residence. The location was listed as other in 25 (15.34%) of the cases. Location was unknown for 48 (29.45%) juveniles.

Chart 11J: Juvenile Offenders Location of Child Sexual Abuse




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 12J - Age of Offenders

Chart 12J shows the ages of juvenile perpetrators. The ages of perpetrators were predominantly between the ages of 12 and 17 (94.48%). Offenders from 12 to 15 represented 52.76% (N=86) of the total. Offenders 16 and older represented 41.72% (N=68) of the total. Perpetrators 8 to 11 represented 3.68% of the total.

Chart 12J: Juvenile Offenders
Age of Juvenile Offenders




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 13J - Age Relationship: Offenders and Victims

Chart 13J graphically shows the age relationship between victims and perpetrators. This demonstrates that a significant number of teenage perpetrators choose very young victims, primarily 11 years old or younger. The highest concentration of offenders and victims are among those offenders 16 and older and those victims 12 and younger.

**Chart 13J: Juvenile Offenders
Age Relationship for Offenders and Victims**




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: Chart 14J -- Forced or Voluntary Sexual Abuse

This chart reflects data collected from court files on the forced versus voluntary nature of the abuse. (These data reflect information in the Petitions and Police Reports and do not represent information from Pre-Sentence Reports.) In 6 (2.93%) cases, the sexual act was forced. In 24 (11.71%) cases there was no evidence that force was used. In 131 (63.90%) cases it was involuntary and it was unknown in 44 (21.46%) of the reported cases.

Chart 14J: Juvenile Offenders Forced or Voluntary Sexual Abuse




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: 15J -- Case Status

This chart provides a view of the case status. A total of 63.32% (N=103) admitted to the Petition filed. The Petition was denied by none of the juveniles. Pending cases comprised 11.66% (N=19) of the total and the status was unknown for 10.43% (N=17) cases.

Chart 15J: Juvenile Offenders Case Status




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: 16J – District Court Cases

These data show caseload by District Court across the state of Idaho. The seven District Courts had a wide variation in the number of child sex abuse cases they handled. The Fourth District Court handled 36.81% (N=60) of the cases for juveniles in the state. The Seventh District Court handled 17.79% (N=29) of the cases. The Fifth District handled 11.66% (N=19) cases. The remaining cases were spread across the other District Courts.

Chart 16J: Juvenile Offenders District Court




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Juvenile Offenders: 17J – Length of Juvenile Probation

One hundred twenty-two juveniles received some type of probation. This represents 75% of all juveniles adjudicated.

Chart 17J: Juvenile Defendants Length of Juvenile Probation



FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

STATEWIDE CASE ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE CASE ANALYSIS

This year's report includes an analysis of data standardized to the population in each of the 44 Idaho counties based on the 2000 U.S. Census. The standardization of prosecution by population allows for valid comparisons of incidence of prosecutions across the state and the criminal justice response. The data is reported per 10,000 persons. Smaller counties are corrected to conform to this reporting standard and the comparisons (rates) are accurate throughout the state.

There were 259 adult cases and 163 juvenile petitions of child sexual abuse filed in district and juvenile courts during FY05 (July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005). The total number of cases filed in the period covered by this report was 422. There were 371 cases filed in FY04, 459 cases filed in FY03, 434 cases filed in FY02; 386 cases filed in FY01; 364 cases in FY00; 432 cases in FY99; 403 cases in FY98; 332 cases in FY97; 271 cases in FY96; 295 cases in FY95; 356 cases in FY94; 425 cases reported in the FY93 study and 372 cases in FY92. (The number of adult and juvenile cases reported in the FY03 study was the highest number in the 14 years that this research team has been conducting the survey.)

Incidence of Case Filings by County-Table 1

The overall incidence of child sex abuse prosecution follows in **Table 1** by county and type of offender (adult or juvenile) for every county in Idaho. This information was taken from the records provided to the research team by each County Clerk's office and compared with the County Prosecutor records in Idaho's 44 counties. The research team collected data on-site in all counties reporting offenses.

Case filings are standardized and a rate calculated based on the most recent census data. The rate for adults is calculated and is reported for each county in the column Rate/Adults. The rate for juveniles was calculated and is reported for each county in the column Rate/Juveniles. A total rate for each county was calculated and the combined adult and juvenile rate is reported in the column Rate/Total. Seven counties (Adams, Benewah, Camas, Clark, Custer, Lemhi, and Lewis) reported no cases and their rates are reported as zero.

The Total (mean) Rate of the child sex abuse court cases filed by county for the study period was 3.14/10,000. The Standard Deviation was 2.56/10,000. Over 95% of the counties fall within a range of plus or minus two standard deviations ($2.56 \times 2 = 5.12$) from the average of 3.14 cases per 10,000. This means that 95% of Idaho counties reported from zero (0) to 8.26/10,000 child sex abuse cases filed during the study period.

The average rate for adults (Adult/Rate) in each county was 1.92/10,000 with a standard deviation of 2.07/10,000. This is lower than last year's rate. The total rate for juveniles (Juvenile/Rate) was 1.22/10,000 with a standard deviation of 1.47/10,000. This rate was also higher than last year's Juvenile Rate.

Incidence of Case Filings by County: Table One

County	Population	Total	Adults	Juveniles	County	Rate/Adults	Rate/Juveniles	Rate/Total
Ada	300,904	110	58	52	Ada	1.93	1.73	3.66
Adams	3,476	0	0	0	Adams	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bannock	75,565	29	19	10	Bannock	2.51	1.32	3.84
Bear Lake	6,411	2	2	0	Bear Lake	3.12	0.00	3.12
Benewah	9,171	0	0	0	Benewah	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bingham	41,735	19	10	9	Bingham	2.40	2.16	4.55
Blaine	18,991	1	0	1	Blaine	0.00	0.53	0.53
Boise	6,670	2	0	2	Boise	0.00	3.00	3.00
Bonner	36,835	8	5	3	Bonner	1.36	0.81	2.17
Bonneville	82,522	38	26	12	Bonneville	3.15	1.45	4.60
Boundary	9,871	4	3	1	Boundary	3.04	1.01	4.05
Butte	2,899	2	0	2	Butte	0.00	6.90	6.90
Camas	991	0	0	0	Camus	0.00	0.00	0.00
Canyon	131,441	24	11	13	Canyon	0.84	0.99	1.83
Caribou	7,304	8	7	1	Caribou	9.58	1.37	10.95
Cassia	21,416	6	3	3	Cassia	1.40	1.40	2.80
Clark	1,022	0	0	0	Clark	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clearwater	8,930	4	3	1	Clearwater	3.36	1.12	4.48
Custer	4,342	0	0	0	Custer	0.00	0.00	0.00
Elmore	29,130	1	1	0	Elmore	0.34	0.00	0.34
Franklin	11,329	5	4	1	Franklin	3.53	0.88	4.41
Fremont	11,819	3	1	2	Fremont	0.85	1.69	2.54
Gem	15,181	1	0	1	Gem	0.00	0.66	0.66
Gooding	14,155	7	4	3	Gooding	2.83	2.12	4.95
Idaho	15,511	5	4	1	Idaho	2.58	0.64	3.22
Jefferson	19,155	5	2	3	Jefferson	1.04	1.57	2.61
Jerome	18,342	15	14	1	Jerome	7.63	0.55	8.18
Kootenai	108,685	31	25	6	Kootenai	2.30	0.55	2.85
Latah	34,935	5	5	0	Latah	1.43	0.00	1.43
Lemhi	7,806	0	0	0	Lemhi	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lewis	3,747	0	0	0	Lewis	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lincoln	4,044	1	1	0	Lincoln	2.47	0.00	2.47
Madison	27,467	6	5	1	Madison	1.82	0.36	2.18
Minidoka	20,174	7	5	2	Minidoka	2.48	0.99	3.47
Nez Perce	37,410	7	1	6	Nez Perce	0.27	1.60	1.87
Oneida	4,125	1	0	1	Oneida	0.00	2.42	2.42
Owyhee	10,644	1	0	1	Owyhee	0.00	0.94	0.94
Payette	20,578	17	14	3	Payette	6.80	1.46	8.26
Power	7,538	3	1	2	Power	1.33	2.65	3.98
Shoshone	13,771	8	4	4	Shoshone	2.90	2.90	5.81
Teton	5,999	2	2	0	Teton	3.93	0.00	3.33
Twin Falls	64,284	24	14	10	Twin Falls	2.18	1.56	3.73
Valley	7,651	7	2	5	Valley	2.61	6.54	9.15
Washington	9,977	3	3	0	Washington	3.01	0.00	3.01
Averages	29408	9.59	5.89	3.70	Averages	1.93	1.22	3.14
Standard Dev	49989	17.82	10.20	8.09	Standard Dev	2.08	1.47	2.56

Rates for All Counties, 1996 – 2005: Table 2


Table 2 shows the rates for all 44 counties in Idaho from FY96 to FY05. This chart shows the trends in child sex abuse prosecutions and the standard deviation from the average rate during this period (FY96-FY05). This provides an accurate account of the range of the case-filing rate by county throughout this period.

County	Rate 96	Rate 97	Rate 98	Rate 99	Rate 00	Rate 01	Rate 02	Rate 03	Rate 04	Rate 05	Avg 96-05	SD 96-05
Ada	2.33	3.21	3.74	4.91	4.23	2.89	2.36	2.16	2.39	3.66	3.19	0.88
Adams	6.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.88	2.88	0.00	1.19	2.01
Bannock	4.09	5.45	4.39	4.70	5.30	5.03	5.43	4.10	2.25	3.84	4.46	0.92
Bear Lake	4.93	8.22	1.64	6.57	4.93	1.56	0.00	4.68	0.00	3.12	3.57	2.63
Benewah	0.00	7.56	1.26	3.78	3.78	1.09	4.36	2.18	0.00	0.00	2.40	2.34
Bingham	2.66	3.19	2.93	5.06	3.73	4.31	4.79	6.71	4.07	4.55	4.20	1.13
Blaine	5.17	4.43	4.43	5.90	2.21	1.05	2.11	0.00	1.58	0.53	2.74	1.97
Boise	8.55	2.85	5.70	2.58	2.85	6.00	7.50	7.50	6.00	3.00	5.25	2.15
Bonner	1.50	4.13	4.51	3.76	2.63	1.90	3.53	2.99	3.53	2.17	3.07	0.95
Bonneville	1.66	3.46	3.60	1.80	3.32	3.76	1.94	6.91	4.73	4.60	3.58	1.52
Boundary	0.00	2.40	6.00	4.80	3.60	2.03	8.10	2.03	3.04	4.05	3.60	2.17
Butte	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.85	0.00	0.00	3.45	6.90	6.90	2.41	3.10
Camas	0.00	27.51	0.00	13.76	13.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.50	9.13
Canyon	2.78	2.55	6.99	6.11	3.11	2.81	2.81	2.89	1.67	1.83	3.35	1.67
Caribou	0.00	1.44	2.87	1.44	0.00	2.74	9.58	6.85	6.85	10.95	4.27	3.78
Cassia	0.00	5.12	0.51	0.51	2.05	1.87	5.60	3.74	3.27	2.80	2.55	1.83
Clark	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.31	3.94
Clearwater	2.35	3.53	7.05	2.35	1.18	4.48	12.32	5.60	2.24	4.48	4.56	3.08
Custer	0.00	4.84	0.00	7.26	0.00	9.21	0.00	0.00	4.61	0.00	2.59	3.39
Elmore	3.30	4.72	1.41	0.94	0.00	1.72	4.12	2.40	2.06	0.34	2.10	1.48
Franklin	4.29	10.73	10.73	3.22	5.36	5.30	5.30	8.83	5.30	4.41	6.35	2.58
Fremont	0.91	0.00	0.91	4.57	5.49	0.00	5.92	3.38	1.69	2.54	2.54	2.10
Gem	8.44	2.53	5.91	3.38	5.91	1.98	0.00	6.59	9.22	0.66	4.46	3.04
Gooding	2.58	2.58	5.16	0.86	6.88	4.27	4.95	2.83	3.53	4.95	3.86	1.64
Idaho	3.63	0.00	1.45	0.73	2.90	4.51	3.84	3.87	1.29	3.22	2.54	1.47
Jefferson	3.63	4.84	6.04	3.02	6.04	1.57	3.65	4.18	1.57	2.61	3.72	1.52
Jerome	9.91	5.95	3.30	1.98	2.64	6.00	4.36	6.00	7.63	8.18	5.60	2.42
Kootenai	1.00	1.58	2.15	7.16	2.72	2.39	2.67	2.02	2.94	2.85	2.75	1.58
Latah	1.63	1.96	2.29	0.98	3.27	0.86	0.00	0.57	2.00	1.43	1.50	0.90
Lemhi	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	0.00	2.56	0.00	0.00	1.28	0.00	0.96	0.85
Lewis	2.84	0.00	2.84	0.00	0.00	2.67	5.34	0.00	2.67	0.00	1.64	1.79
Lincoln	0.00	9.07	0.00	18.14	0.00	0.00	2.47	12.36	2.47	2.47	4.70	6.01
Madison	1.27	2.96	5.07	4.22	3.80	1.09	3.64	1.46	0.00	2.18	2.57	1.54
Minidoka	7.75	1.55	1.55	4.65	1.03	0.50	1.98	12.89	0.99	3.47	3.64	3.72
Nez Perce	2.96	2.67	3.26	2.96	2.96	1.34	3.74	2.94	0.80	1.87	2.55	0.87
Oneida	2.86	0.00	5.73	0.00	2.86	7.27	14.55	2.42	0.00	2.42	3.81	4.25
Owyhee	2.38	4.77	3.57	4.77	0.00	2.82	0.00	5.64	7.52	0.94	3.24	2.37
Payette	0.61	3.04	6.69	3.04	3.65	1.94	3.40	0.00	4.86	8.26	3.55	2.41
Power	4.23	4.23	5.64	8.47	1.41	1.33	13.27	11.94	5.31	3.98	5.98	3.85
Shoshone	4.31	2.15	5.02	3.59	3.59	6.54	9.44	5.81	3.63	5.81	4.99	1.95
Teton	0.00	0.00	2.91	0.00	5.82	0.00	1.67	1.67	0.00	3.33	1.54	1.88
Twin Falls	3.17	2.24	6.35	7.47	5.79	4.95	4.36	3.89	4.36	3.73	4.63	1.48
Valley	3.27	9.82	3.27	3.27	4.91	7.84	5.23	16.99	9.15	9.15	7.29	4.08
Washington	3.51	5.85	2.34	0.00	7.02	5.01	3.01	4.01	1.00	3.01	3.48	2.02
Averages	2.77	3.97	3.42	3.73	3.65	2.85	4.03	4.26	3.12	3.14	3.49	0.49
SD	2.48	4.47	2.43	3.56	2.89	2.33	3.56	3.75	2.49	2.56	3.05	0.70

Filing Rates in the Most Populated Counties-Chart 1T

Chart 1T shows the incidence of child sex abuse prosecution in the 10 most heavily populated counties. County population varies in these 10 counties from a high of 300,904 in Ada County to 34,935 in Latah County. The Total/Rate varies from a high of 4.6/10,000 in Bonneville and Bingham Counties to a low of 1.43/10,000 in Latah County. This indicates that all of the 10 most populated counties are grouped around the average incidence for the state.

Chart 1T - 10 Largest Counties




Rates per 10,000 Population
 FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Filing Rates in the Least Populated Counties-Chart 2T

Chart 2T shows the incidence of child sex abuse prosecution in the 10 least populated counties. The Total/Rate varied from a low of 0.0 in a number of the small counties to a high of 6.9 in Butte County. Five of the ten smallest counties reported no prosecutions. The small population (2,899) of Butte County exacerbates the incidence in this county.

Chart 2T - 10 Smallest Counties




Ten Counties: Highest Rates of Child Sex Abuse Cases-Chart 3T

Chart 3T shows the counties with the highest incidence of cases filed for child sex abuse. The rate is shown for Adults, Juveniles and the Total/Rate per 10,000. The figures are standardized based on 2000 census data allowing for valid comparisons among the counties regardless of population density. In this report (FY05) Caribou County reported a total of 11/10,000 cases and Valley County 9.2/10,000 cases. (In FY04 Gem and Valley Counties reported the highest rate with 9.2/10,000 persons. In the FY03 report, Gem County (15,181) reported the lowest Total/Rate for the ten counties with 6.6/10,000. Valley County (7,651) reported the highest rate with 17.0/10,000.)

Both of these counties report an incidence higher than would be predicted by the analysis of the data. Again, as mentioned in the previous reports, the small population of many Idaho counties skews the findings. The dynamics of this type of crime as well as the age of the victims may account for under-reporting. The higher reported numbers may also indicate more aggressive enforcement efforts and prosecution. Trend analysis over time is providing a clearer picture of incidence by county and the justice system response.

Chart 3T - 10 Counties with Highest Rates




Rates per 10,000 Population
 FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Gender and Gender Relationships-Chart 4T

This chart examines offender-victim relationships. Females were the most predominant victims representing 77.40% (N=346) of all identified. Male victims represented 17.22% (N=77) of the victims. The offenders were overwhelmingly male, representing 96.44% (N=407) of the accused perpetrators. Female perpetrators represented 3.36% (N=15) of the total.

The analysis of gender relationships between the accused and the victim indicated that 76.51% (N=342) represented different genders. In 18.12% (N=81) a sexual act occurred between the same genders and it was unknown for those remaining.

Chart 4T - Gender and Gender Relationships




FY2005 Idaho Child Sexual Abuse Study

Rates / Standard Deviations of Child Sex Abuse Prosecutions-Chart 5T

This chart shows a graphic picture of the ten-year trend in child sex abuse prosecutions and the standard deviation from the average rate from 1996 to 2005 (FY96-FY05). The rate reported in FY05 is comparable to the rates reported from FY98-FY00. The reported rate two years ago (FY03) was the highest in the 10-year period. After the decline from FY93 to FY96, the incidence and rate from FY97 through FY00 were comparable. The decline in the rate in FY00 and FY01 are probably related to the 2000 Census data showing an increase in the state population. The incidence in FY02 and FY03 showed dramatic increases. These increases were most likely due to increased reporting or the lack of treatment options.

Chart 5T - 1996 to 2005
Rates and Standard Deviations of Child Sexual Abuse Charges for Idaho



TREND ANALYSIS

TREND ANALYSIS

Trends In Incidence and Prosecution of Child Sex Abuse - Table 2

Table 2 shows the reported rate of prosecution over a ten-year period for both adults and juveniles. This provides a more accurate picture of the variation of prosecution over time. Based on the ten-year rates (per 10,000 population) of prosecution, most counties that reported higher rates in a particular reporting year regressed toward the state averages in subsequent reporting periods. The average ten-year rate was 3.49/10,000 (Avg 96-05) prosecutions per year throughout the state.

Table 2 and Chart 5T show the trend analysis of prosecutions in this state over a ten-year period. The highest number of adult prosecutions and juvenile petitions occurred in FY03. The rate in FY03 dramatically increased and appearing to follow a strong increase in FY02. Data collection and analysis in the 44 counties have provided few clues to this phenomenon. Increased reporting, aggressive prosecution, evaluation, and punishment/treatment of offenders may contribute positively to reducing incidence. Also, increased education of both parents and children may positively impact prevention strategies. This annual survey remains a useful tool to continue to monitor the trend over time.

The increased attention of the state to child sex abuse crime may have sensitized parents to taking precautions with their children as well as made children aware of appropriate and inappropriate touching.

Still it is important to note that a trend in this type of crime emerges slowly and a decline in a one or two year period may be the normal variation that would be expected.

CONCLUSION

CONCLUSION

The data in this report summarizes child sex abuse prosecutions in Idaho in FY05 as stipulated by the Idaho Legislature. Data were collected throughout Idaho by a Research Team in accordance with the guidelines specified by the Governor's and Attorney General's Offices. The research team collected data on-site in all counties reporting prosecutions. The number of actual cases was sent to the prosecuting attorney in each jurisdiction for verification. All corrections that were sent to the project team by late November 2005 were included in this analysis.

This report marks the fifteenth year that data has been standardized for cross county comparisons. This type of analysis provides policy makers at the local and state levels with accurate comparative data to determine the counties with higher incidence.

A total of 422 cases of child sexual abuse were prosecuted during the study period FY05. Of that number, 259 were adults and 163 were juveniles. The Total (Mean) Rate of cases filed by county (standardized to census data) was 3.14/10,000.

The average Total Rate (Adult/Rate) for adult child sex abuse felony filings was 1.92/10,000. The average Total Rate (Juvenile/Rate) for juvenile child sex abuse petitions filed was 1.22/10,000. The Adult and Juvenile rates have increased from the last report (FY04).

The data in this study further indicated that child sexual abuse occurs most frequently between the victim and an acquaintance or relative. This has

significant implications for policy makers in preventing child sexual abuse and treating those persons convicted of this type of crime.

Any conclusions about this information should be guarded because of the nature of child sexual abuse and the low incidence of reporting. This research is determining incidence over time. A standardized data collection system statewide would greatly facilitate comprehensive data collection.

Access to the original files and presentence reports, denied since 2000, would greatly improve the collection of accurate data and allow the researchers to make more predictions and policy suggestions.